Ur 1004 (1)

3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 1223 /2005

PETITIONER: Harishchand Singh Tomar S/o. Late Shri G.S. Tomar, Aged about 52 years, R/o. Antagarh Project Division, Bhanupratappur, Distt. Kanker (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1] State of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Forest Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2] Managing Director, Chhattisgarh Rajya, Van Vikas Nigam Ltd. D / 252-523 / Devendranagar, Raipur, Distt. Raipur (C.G.)

3] K.K. Dixit, Manager, Incharge Divisional Manager, Panawaras Project Division, Rajnandgaon, Distt. Rajnandgaon (C.G.)

4] Narayan Bhavsar, Dy. Manager, Incharge Additional Manager, Barnavapara Project Division, B-7, Sector-2, Devendranagar, Raipur (C.G.)

5] N.D. Atulkar, Dy. Manager, Incharge Divisional Manager, Industrial Plantation Division, Bilaspur, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 1223 dt. 9.11.05 Presented by Shri Manoj Gupta dated 9-11-05

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

43

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 1223 सन् 2005

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

1.4.2005

Shri Manoj Paranjape, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Sandeep Dubey, Government Advocate for the State.

I.A. No. 2383/2005 for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is presently working as Deputy Manager (Forest) in Chhattisgarh Rajya Van Vikas Nigam, Bhanupratappur. He further submits that the private respondents No. 3, 4 & 5 have been shown above the petitioner in the seniority list, whereas they should have been shown below the petitioner according to the rules prevailing for the purpose of fixation of seniority.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this petition may be disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation, raising all his grievances to the concerned authority and the concerned authority may be directed to decide the representation within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

This limited prayer made by counsel for the petitioner is not opposed by the State counsel.

The prayer is allowed.

If so advised, the petitioner may file a detailed representation alongwith a copy of this order and copy of the writ petition before the concerned authority within a period of 10 days from today and if such a representation is filed, the concerned authority shall dispose of the same as early as possible, preferably within a period

44

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

of 6 weeks from the date of filing/receipt of the said representation by passing a reasoned order on each point, raised by the petitioner.

A copy of the order, passed on the representation shall be communicated to the petitioner by the concerned authority through the local office of the petitioner.

With the aforesaid observations the petition stands finally disposed of.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge

suntia